

ECONOMETRICS HW #7 - 5.5.3, 5.5.6, 5.5.12, 5.6.8, 5.6.9, 5.6.10,  
5.7.5, 5.7.6, 6.1.11, 6.2.8AC, 6.4.1

(5.5.3)

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(\theta) &= P_{\theta} \left( X_1 X_2 \geq \frac{3}{4} \right) \\ &= \int_{3/4}^1 \int_{3/4x^2}^1 f(x_1, x_2; \theta) dx_1 dx_2 \\ &= \int_{3/4}^1 \int_{3/4x^2}^1 \theta x_1^{\theta-1} \theta x_2^{\theta-1} dx_1 dx_2 \\ &= \int_{3/4}^1 \theta x_2^{\theta-1} \left[ x_1^{\theta} \right]_{3/4x^2}^1 dx_2 \\ &= \int_{3/4x^2}^1 \left( \theta x_2^{\theta-1} - \theta \left( \frac{3}{4} \right)^{\theta} x_2^{-1} \right) dx_2 \\ &= 1 - \left( \frac{3}{4} \right)^{\theta} + \theta \left( \frac{3}{4} \right)^{\theta} \ln \frac{3}{4}\end{aligned}$$

(5.5.6)

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= P_{H_0}(S \leq 10) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{10} \binom{20}{k} (.7)^k (.3)^{20-k} \\ &= .048\end{aligned}$$

**Need to include graph**

(5.5.12) (a) The sum  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^8 X_i$  has distribution  $Poiss(8\mu, 8\mu)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= P_{H_0}(C) \\ &= 1 - P_{H_0}(Y \leq 7) \\ &= 1 - .949 = .051\end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\gamma(\mu) = P_{H_0}(C) = 1 - \sum_{k=0}^7 \frac{e^{-8\mu}(8\mu)^k}{k!}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}\mu = .75 & \Rightarrow \gamma(\mu) = 1 - .744 = .256 \\ \mu = 1 & \Rightarrow \gamma(\mu) = 1 - .453 = .547 \\ \mu = 1.25 & \Rightarrow \gamma(\mu) = 1 - .220 = .780\end{aligned}$$

**(5.6.8)** (a) Null hypothesis is that the campaign was ineffective, so

$$H_0 : p = p_0 = .14$$

$$H_1 : p > p_0$$

(b) Note that  $Y \sim b(n, p)$ , so if the null hypothesis holds, then

$$\mu = np = (590)(.14) = 82.6$$

$$\sigma = \sqrt{np(1-p)} = \sqrt{(590)(.14)(.86)} = 8.43$$

Asymptotically,  $Y \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}C &= \{Y : Y \geq \mu + z_{.01}\sigma\} \\ &= \{Y : Y \geq 82.6 + (2.33)(8.43)\} \\ &= \{Y : Y \geq 102.24\}\end{aligned}$$

(c) We observed  $Y = 104$ , or a  $z$ -value of

$$z_\alpha = \frac{Y - \mu}{\sigma} = 2.54,$$

for which the  $p$ -value is .0055, which is smaller than .01, so the campaign was successful at the 1% significance level.

**(5.6.9)** By Student's Theorem  $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$ , so  $c$  is the cutoff at .025 significance for a  $\chi^2(12)$  distribution  $c = 23.337$ .

**(5.6.10)** Similarly to the previous problem,  $c$  is the .05 cutoff for an  $F$ -distribution with  $r_1 = 12, r_2 = 10$ :  $c = 2.910$

(5.7.5) We follow the technique of Example 5.7.3, but here  $n_1 = n_2 = 100$ , so the expression reduces to

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{[X_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}(X_{i1} + X_{i2})]}{\frac{1}{2}(X_{i1} + X_{i2})} \sim \chi^2(k-1),$$

which holds asymptotically as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $n = 200$  is large, we'll take the distribution as precise. The critical value for a  $\chi^2(4)$  distribution at .05 significance level is 9.49. Evaluating the left hand side of the above equation, we get 6.36, which is less than the critical value, so we cannot reject  $H_0$  at the .05 significance level.

(5.7.6) We follow Example 5.7.4, which says that if  $H_0$  holds, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{[X_{ij} - \frac{1}{n}X_{i.}X_{.j}]^2}{\frac{1}{n}X_{i.}X_{.j}}$$

has approximately a  $\chi^2(6)$  distribution. The .05 significance level is 12.592, and evaluating the expression yields 12.94, so we reject the null hypothesis.

(6.1.11) (a)

$$\begin{aligned} \ln L(\theta; x) &= \sum [\ln f(x_i; \theta)] \\ &= \sum \left[ \ln \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \right) - \frac{(X - \theta)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \\ \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} &= \sum \frac{X - \theta}{\sigma^2} \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\theta} &= \bar{X} \end{aligned}$$

(b)  $L(\theta; x)$  is strictly concave everywhere, because

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \theta^2} = \sum \frac{-1}{\sigma^2} < 0,$$

so when  $\bar{X} < 0$ , then  $L'(\theta, x) < 0$  at all  $\theta \geq 0$ . Thus, the greatest value occurs at  $\theta = 0$ . Thus,

$$\hat{\theta} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \bar{X} < 0 \\ \bar{X} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(6.2.8) (a)

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln f(x; \theta) &= \ln \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{\sigma^2}} \right) \\
&= \ln \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} e^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{\theta}} \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \theta - \frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{\theta} \\
\frac{\partial \ln f}{\partial \theta} &= -\frac{1}{2\theta} + \frac{x^2}{2\theta^2} \\
\frac{\partial^2 \ln f}{\partial^2 \theta^2} &= \frac{1}{2\theta^2} - \frac{x^2}{\theta^3} \\
I(\theta) &= -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2\theta^2} - \frac{x^2}{\theta^3} \right) f(x; \theta) dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\theta^2} + \frac{1}{\theta^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 f(x; \theta) dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\theta^2} + \frac{1}{\theta^3} \theta \\
&= \frac{1}{2\theta^2}
\end{aligned}$$

(b)  $\mathbf{X} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \theta \mathbf{I})$ , so if  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum \mathbf{X}_i^2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
n \frac{\hat{\theta}}{\theta} &= \mathbf{X}' \theta \mathbf{I} \mathbf{X} \sim \chi^2(n) \\
\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) &= \frac{\theta^2}{n^2} \text{Var} \left( \frac{\theta \hat{\theta}}{n} \right) \\
&= \frac{\theta^2}{n^2} 2n = \frac{2\theta^2}{n} = \frac{1}{nI(\theta)}
\end{aligned}$$

The Rao-Cramér bound is exact, so  $\hat{\theta}$  is an efficient estimator.

(c) Asymptotically,  $\hat{\theta} \sim N \left( \theta, \frac{2\theta^2}{n} \right)$ , so

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \sim N(0, 2\theta^2)$$

(6.4.1) This is a simplified case of Example 6.4.5. Here there is only one observation, so we simply have

$$\hat{\theta} = \left( \frac{x_i}{n} \right) = \left( \frac{4}{25}, \frac{11}{25}, \frac{7}{25}, \frac{3}{25} \right)$$